

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY
FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY
RIVERINE STRUCTURES FORM

O.M.B. NO. 1660-0016
Expires February 28, 2014

PAPERWORK BURDEN DISCLOSURE NOTICE

Public reporting burden for this form is estimated to average 7 hours per response. The burden estimate includes the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the needed data, and completing, reviewing, and submitting the form. You are not required to respond to this collection of information unless a valid OMB control number appears in the upper right corner of this form. Send comments regarding the accuracy of the burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing this burden to: Information Collections Management, Department of Homeland Security, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 1800 South Bell Street, Arlington, VA 20598-3005, Paperwork Reduction Project (1660-0016). Submission of the form is required to obtain or retain benefits under the National Flood Insurance Program. **Please do not send your completed survey to the above address.**

PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT

AUTHORITY: The National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, Public Law 90-448, as amended by the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, Public Law 93-234.
PRINCIPAL PURPOSE(S): This information is being collected for the purpose of determining an applicant's eligibility to request changes to National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM).
ROUTINE USE(S): The information on this form may be disclosed as generally permitted under 5 U.S.C § 552a(b) of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended. This includes using this information as necessary and authorized by the routine uses published in DHS/FEMA/NFIP/LOMA-1 National Flood Insurance Program; Letter of Map Amendment (LOMA) February 15, 2006, 71 FR 7990.
DISCLOSURE: The disclosure of information on this form is voluntary; however, failure to provide the information requested may delay or prevent FEMA from processing a determination regarding a requested change to a NFIP Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM).

Flooding Source: Red River of the North

Note: Fill out one form for each flooding source studied.

A. GENERAL

Complete the appropriate section(s) for each Structure listed below:

- Channelization.....complete Section B
- Bridge/Culvert.....complete Section C
- Dam.....complete Section D
- Levee/Floodwall.....complete Section E
- Sediment Transport.....complete Section F (if required)

Description Of Modeled Structure

1. Name of Structure: Phase 1 Levee
Type (check one): Channelization Bridge/Culvert Levee/Floodwall Dam
Location of Structure: Section 31, T-140-N, R-48-W (1404831)
Downstream Limit/Cross Section: Plan Station 0+00
Upstream Limit/Cross Section: Plan Station 25+00
2. Name of Structure: Phase 2 Levee
Type (check one): Channelization Bridge/Culvert Levee/Floodwall Dam
Location of Structure: Sections 31 & 32, T-140-N, R-48-W (1404831 & 1404832)
Downstream Limit/Cross Section: Plan Station 9+75
Upstream Limit/Cross Section: Plan Station 0+00
3. Name of Structure: Phase 2 Floodwall
Type (check one) Channelization Bridge/Culvert Levee/Floodwall Dam
Location of Structure: Section 31 & 32, T-140-N, R-48-W (1404831 & 1404832)
Downstream Limit/Cross Section: Plan Station 7+80
Upstream Limit/Cross Section: Plan Station 9+00

NOTE: FOR MORE STRUCTURES, ATTACH ADDITIONAL PAGES AS NEEDED.

B. CHANNELIZATION

Flooding Source: Not Applicable

Name of Structure: _____

1. Hydraulic Considerations

The channel was designed to carry _____ (cfs) and/or the _____-year flood.

The design elevation in the channel is based on (check one):

- Subcritical flow
- Critical flow
- Supercritical flow
- Energy grade line

If there is the potential for a hydraulic jump at the following locations, check all that apply and attach an explanation of how the hydraulic jump is controlled without affecting the stability of the channel.

- Inlet to channel
- Outlet of channel
- At Drop Structures
- At Transitions
- Other locations (specify): _____

2. Channel Design Plans

Attach the plans of the channelization certified by a registered professional engineer, as described in the instructions.

3. Accessory Structures

The channelization includes (check one):

- Levees [Attach Section E (Levee/Floodwall)]
- Drop structures
- Superelevated sections
- Transitions in cross sectional geometry
- Debris basin/detention basin [Attach Section D (Dam/Basin)]
- Energy dissipator
- Weir
- Other (Describe): _____

4. Sediment Transport Considerations

Are the hydraulics of the channel affected by sediment transport? Yes No

If yes, then fill out Section F (Sediment Transport) of Form 3. If No, then attach your explanation for why sediment transport was not considered.

C. BRIDGE/CULVERT

Flooding Source: Not Applicable

Name of Structure: _____

1. This revision reflects (check one):

- Bridge/culvert not modeled in the FIS
- Modified bridge/culvert previously modeled in the FIS
- Revised analysis of bridge/culvert previously modeled in the FIS

2. Hydraulic model used to analyze the structure (e.g., HEC-2 with special bridge routine, WSPRO, HY8): _____

If different than hydraulic analysis for the flooding source, justify why the hydraulic analysis used for the flooding source could not analyze the structures. Attach justification.

3. Attach plans of the structures certified by a registered professional engineer. The plan detail and information should include the following (check the information that has been provided):

- Dimensions (height, width, span, radius, length)
- Distances Between Cross Sections
- Shape (culverts only)
- Erosion Protection
- Material
- Low Chord Elevations – Upstream and Downstream
- Beveling or Rounding
- Top of Road Elevations – Upstream and Downstream
- Wing Wall Angle
- Structure Invert Elevations – Upstream and Downstream
- Skew Angle
- Stream Invert Elevations – Upstream and Downstream
- Cross-Section Locations

4. Sediment Transport Considerations

Are the hydraulics of the structure affected by sediment transport? Yes No

If Yes, then fill out Section F (Sediment Transport) of Form 3. If no, then attach an explanation.

D. DAM/BASIN

Flooding Source: Not Applicable

Name of Structure: _____

1. This request is for (check one): Existing dam/basin New dam/basin Modification of existing dam/basin
2. The dam/basin was designed by (check one): Federal agency State agency Private organization Local government agency

Name of the agency or organization: _____

3. The Dam was permitted as (check one): Federal Dam State Dam

Provide the permit or identification number (ID) for the dam and the appropriate permitting agency or organization

Permit or ID number _____ Permitting Agency or Organization _____

- a. Local Government Dam Private Dam

Provided related drawings, specification and supporting design information.

4. Does the project involve revised hydrology? Yes No

If Yes, complete the Riverine Hydrology & Hydraulics Form (Form 2).

Was the dam/basin designed using critical duration storm? (must account for the maximum volume of runoff)

- Yes, provide supporting documentation with your completed Form 2.
- No, provide a written explanation and justification for not using the critical duration storm.

5. Does the submittal include debris/sediment yield analysis? Yes No

If Yes, then fill out Section F (Sediment Transport). If No, then attach your explanation for why debris/sediment analysis was not considered?

6. Does the Base Flood Elevation behind the dam/basin or downstream of the dam/basin change? Yes No

If Yes, complete the Riverine Hydrology & Hydraulics Form (Form 2) and complete the table below.

FREQUENCY (% annual chance)	Stillwater Elevation Behind the Dam/Basin	
	FIS	REVISED
10-year (10%)	_____	_____
50-year (2%)	_____	_____
100-year (1%)	_____	_____
500-year (0.2%)	_____	_____
Normal Pool Elevation	_____	_____

7. Please attach a copy of the formal Operation and Maintenance Plan

E. LEVEE/FLOODWALL

1. System Elements

a. This Levee/Floodwall analysis is based on (check one):

- upgrading of an existing levee/floodwall system a newly constructed levee/floodwall system reanalysis of an existing levee/floodwall system

b. Levee elements and locations are (check one):

- earthen embankment, dike, berm, etc. Station Attached to Attached
 structural floodwall Station Attached to Attached
 Other (describe): _____ Station _____ to _____

c. Structural Type (check one): monolithic cast-in place reinforced concrete reinforced concrete masonry block sheet piling
 Other (describe): _____

d. Has this levee/floodwall system been certified by a Federal agency to provide protection from the base flood?

Yes No

If Yes, by which agency? _____

e. Attach certified drawings containing the following information (indicate drawing sheet numbers):

- | | |
|--|------------------------------------|
| 1. Plan of the levee embankment and floodwall structures. | Sheet Numbers: <u>See Attached</u> |
| 2. A profile of the levee/floodwall system showing the Base Flood Elevation (BFE), levee and/or wall crest and foundation, and closure locations for the total levee system. | Sheet Numbers: <u>See Attached</u> |
| 3. A profile of the BFE, closure opening outlet and inlet invert elevations, type and size of opening, and kind of closure. | Sheet Numbers: <u>See Attached</u> |
| 4. A layout detail for the embankment protection measures. | Sheet Numbers: <u>See Attached</u> |
| 5. Location, layout, and size and shape of the levee embankment features, foundation treatment, Floodwall structure, closure structures, and pump stations. | Sheet Numbers: <u>See Attached</u> |

2. Freeboard

a. The minimum freeboard provided above the BFE is: See File: "2B - Technical Memorandum"

4.1 Feet

Riverine

- | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| 3.0 feet or more at the downstream end and throughout | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| 3.5 feet or more at the upstream end | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| 4.0 feet within 100 feet upstream of all structures and/or constrictions | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |

Coastal

- | | | |
|---|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1.0 foot above the height of the one percent wave associated with the 1%-annual-chance stillwater surge elevation or maximum wave runup (whichever is greater). | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| 2.0 feet above the 1%-annual-chance stillwater surge elevation | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |

Please note, occasionally exceptions are made to the minimum freeboard requirement. If an exception is requested, attach documentation addressing Paragraph 65.10(b)(1)(ii) of the NFIP Regulations.

If No is answered to any of the above, please attach an explanation.

b. Is there an indication from historical records that ice-jamming can affect the BFE? Yes No

If Yes, provide ice-jam analysis profile and evidence that the minimum freeboard discussed above still exists.

3. Closures

a. Openings through the levee system (check one): exists does not exist

If opening exists, list all closures:

Channel Station	Left or Right Bank	Opening Type	Highest Elevation for Opening Invert	Type of Closure Device
(Phase 1) 12+51	Left	Gatewell	883.35	Sluice Gate
(Phase 1) 16+22	Left	Gatewell	879.5	Sluice Gate
(Phase 2) 6+50	Left	Gatewell	885.6	Sluice Gate
(Phase 2) 8+40	Left	Temp. Floodwall	898.30	Temp. Closure

(Extend table on an added sheet as needed and reference)

Note: Geotechnical and geologic data

In addition to the required detailed analysis reports, data obtained during field and laboratory investigations and used in the design analysis for the following system features should be submitted in a tabulated summary form. (Reference U.S. Army Corps of Engineers [USACE] EM-1110-2-1906 Form 2086.)

4. Embankment Protection

- a. The maximum levee slope land side is: 3H : 1V
- b. The maximum levee slope flood side is: 3H : 1V
- b. The range of velocities along the levee during the base flood is: 1.6 (min.) to 2.1 (max.)
- d. Embankment material is protected by (describe what kind): Vegetative Cover
- e. Riprap Design Parameters (check one): Velocity Tractive stress
Attach references

Reach	Sideslope	Flow Depth	Velocity	Curve or Straight	Stone Riprap			Depth of Toedown
					D ₁₀₀	D ₅₀	Thickness	
Sta to								
Sta to								
Sta to								
Sta to								
Sta to								
Sta to								

(Extend table on an added sheet as needed and reference each entry)

- f. Is a bedding/filter analysis and design attached? Yes No
- g. Describe the analysis used for other kinds of protection used (include copies of the design analysis):
N/A

Attach engineering analysis to support construction plans.

5. Embankment And Foundation Stability

- a. Identify locations and describe the basis for selection of critical location for analysis:
See Attached
 - Overall height: Sta.: Attached, height Attached ft.
 - Limiting foundation soil strength:
Strength $\phi =$ Attached degrees, $c =$ Attached psf
Slope: SS = Attached (h) to Attached (v)
(Repeat as needed on an added sheet for additional locations)
- b. Specify the embankment stability analysis methodology used (e.g., circular arc, sliding block, infinite slope, etc.):
Spencer method with optimized critical slip surface location, circular arc.
- c. Summary of stability analysis results: See 3F-Geotechnical Report

E. LEVEE/FLOODWALL (CONTINUED)

5. Embankment And Foundation Stability (continued)

Case	Loading Conditions	Critical Safety Factor	Criteria (Min.)
I	End of construction	See File: "3A - Supplement to MT2 Form 3"	1.3
II	Sudden drawdown	See File: "3A - Supplement to MT2 Form 3"	1.0
III	Critical flood stage	See File: "3A - Supplement to MT2 Form 3"	1.4
IV	Steady seepage at flood stage	See File: "3A - Supplement to MT2 Form 3"	1.4
VI	Earthquake (Case I)	N/A	1.0

(Reference: USACE EM-1110-2-1913 Table 6-1)

- d. Was a seepage analysis for the embankment performed? Yes No
 If Yes, describe methodology used: Seep/w from Geostudio.
- e. Was a seepage analysis for the foundation performed? Yes No
- f. Were uplift pressures at the embankment landside toe checked? Yes No
- g. Were seepage exit gradients checked for piping potential? Yes No
- h. The duration of the base flood hydrograph against the embankment is 192 hours.

Attach engineering analysis to support construction plans.

6. Floodwall And Foundation Stability

- a. Describe analysis submittal based on Code (check one): UBC (1988) Other (specify): 1 BC 2012, EM 1110-2-2014
- b. Stability analysis submitted provides for: Overturning Sliding If not, explain: _____
- c. Loading included in the analyses were: Lateral earth @ $P_A = 86$ psf; $P_p = 250$ psf
 Surcharge-Slope @ _____, surface _____ psf
 Wind @ $P_w = 25$ -Service psf
 Seepage (Uplift); _____ Earthquake @ $P_{eq} =$ _____ %g
 1%-annual-chance significant wave height: _____ ft.
 1%-annual-chance significant wave period: _____ sec.
- d. Summary of Stability Analysis Results: Factors of Safety.
 Itemize for each range in site layout dimension and loading condition limitation for each respective reach.

Loading Condition	Criteria (Min)		Sta	To	Sta	To
	Overturn	Sliding	Overturn	Sliding	Overturn	Sliding
Dead & Wind	1.5	1.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Dead & Soil	1.5	1.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Dead, Soil, Flood, & Impact	1.5	1.5	4.33	2.08	N/A	N/A
Dead, Soil, & Seismic	1.3	1.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

(Ref: FEMA 114 Sept 1986; USACE EM 1110-2-2502)
Note: (Extend table on an added sheet as needed and reference)

E. LEVEE/FLOODWALL (CONTINUED)

6. Floodwall And Foundation Stability (continued)

e. Foundation bearing strength for each soil type:

Bearing Pressure	Sustained Load (psf)	Short Term Load (psf)
Computed design maximum	1467	1200 (Wind)
Maximum allowable	1500	2000

f. Foundation scour protection is, is not provided. If provided, attach explanation and supporting documentation:

Attach engineering analysis to support construction plans.

7. Settlement

a. Has anticipated potential settlement been determined and incorporated into the specified construction elevations to maintain the established freeboard margin? Yes No See File: "3F - Geotechnical Report"

b. The computed range of settlement is 0.1 ft. to 0.5 ft. See File: "3F - Geotechnical Report"

c. Settlement of the levee crest is determined to be primarily from : Foundation consolidation Embankment compression
 Other (Describe): _____

d. Differential settlement of floodwalls has has not been accommodated in the structural design and construction.

Attach engineering analysis to support construction plans. See File: "3F - Geotechnical Report"

8. Interior Drainage

See File: "3D - Phase 1 Interior Flood Control Report" and "3D - Phase 1 Interior Flood Control Report"

a. Specify size of each interior watershed:

Draining to pressure conduit: See File: "3A - Supplement to MT-2 Form 3"

Draining to ponding area: See File: "3A - Supplement to MT-2 Form 3"

b. Relationships Established

Ponding elevation vs. storage Yes No

Ponding elevation vs. gravity flow Yes No

Differential head vs. gravity flow Yes No

c. The river flow duration curve is enclosed: Yes No

d. Specify the discharge capacity of the head pressure conduit: See File: "3A - Supplement to MT-2 Form 3"

e. Which flooding conditions were analyzed?

- Gravity flow (Interior Watershed) Yes No
- Common storm (River Watershed) Yes No
- Historical ponding probability Yes No
- Coastal wave overtopping Yes No

If No for any of the above, attach explanation.

e. Interior drainage has been analyzed based on joint probability of interior and exterior flooding and the capacities of pumping and outlet facilities to provide the established level of flood protection. Yes No If No, attach explanation.

g. The rate of seepage through the levee system for the base flood is 0 cfs

h. The length of levee system used to drive this seepage rate in item g: 3475 ft.

E. LEVEE/FLOODWALL (CONTINUED)

8. Interior Drainage (continued)

i. Will pumping plants be used for interior drainage? Yes No

If Yes, include the number of pumping plants: 1 For each pumping plant, list:

	Plant #1	Plant #2
The number of pumps	2	
The ponding storage capacity	N/A, No storage area	
The maximum pumping rate	10,000	
The maximum pumping head	30	
The pumping starting elevation	889.00 and 892.00	
The pumping stopping elevation	886.00	
Is the discharge facility protected?	Yes	
Is there a flood warning plan?	Yes	
How much time is available between warning and flooding?	3 weeks	

Will the operation be automatic? Yes No

If the pumps are electric, are there backup power sources? Yes No

(Reference: USACE EM-1110-2-3101, 3102, 3103, 3104, and 3105)

Include a copy of supporting documentation of data and analysis. Provide a map showing the flooded area and maximum ponding elevations for all interior watersheds that result in flooding.

9. Other Design Criteria

a. The following items have been addressed as stated:

Liquefaction is is not a problem

Hydrocompaction is is not a problem

Heave differential movement due to soils of high shrink/swell is is not a problem

b. For each of these problems, state the basic facts and corrective action taken:

N/A

Attach supporting documentation

c. If the levee/floodwall is new or enlarged, will the structure adversely impact flood levels and/or flow velocities floodside of the structure?

Yes No Attach supporting documentation

d. Sediment Transport Considerations:

Was sediment transport considered? Yes No

If Yes, then fill out Section F (Sediment Transport). If No, then attach your explanation for why sediment transport was not considered.

10. Operational Plan And Criteria

a. Are the planned/installed works in full compliance with Part 65.10 of the NFIP Regulations? Yes No

b. Does the operation plan incorporate all the provisions for closure devices as required in Paragraph 65.10(c)(1) of the NFIP regulations?

Yes No

c. Does the operation plan incorporate all the provisions for interior drainage as required in Paragraph 65.10(c)(2) of the NFIP regulations?

Yes No If the answer is No to any of the above, please attach supporting documentation.

E. LEVEE/FLOODWALL (CONTINUED)

11. Maintenance Plan

Please attach a copy of the formal maintenance plan for the levee/floodwall

12. Operations and Maintenance Plan

Please attach a copy of the formal Operations and Maintenance Plan for the levee/floodwall.

CERTIFICATION OF THE LEVEE DOCUMENTATION

This certification is to be signed and sealed by a licensed registered professional engineer authorized by law to certify elevation information data, hydrologic and hydraulic analysis, and any other supporting information as per NFIP regulations paragraph 65.10(e) and as described in the MT-2 Forms Instructions. All documents submitted in support of this request are correct to the best of my knowledge. I understand that any false statement may be punishable by fine or imprisonment under Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 1001.

Certifier's Name: Brett Bailly License No.: PE-6087

Expiration Date: 12/31/2018

Company Name: Moore Engineering, inc.

Telephone No.: (701)282-4692

Fax No.: (701)282-4530

Signature: Brett O. Bailly

Date: 8-30-2017

E-Mail Address: bbailly@mooreengineeringinc.com

F. SEDIMENT TRANSPORT

Flooding Source: See File: "3A - Supplement to MT-2 Form 3" Section E.8. Other Design Criteria

Name of Structure: _____

If there is any indication from historical records that sediment transport (including scour and deposition) can affect the Base Flood Elevation (BFE); and/or based on the stream morphology, vegetative cover, development of the watershed and bank conditions, there is a potential for debris and sediment transport (including scour and deposition) to affect the BFEs, then provide the following information along with the supporting documentation:

Sediment load associated with the base flood discharge: Volume _____ acre-feet

Debris load associated with the base flood discharge: Volume _____ acre-feet

Sediment transport rate _____ (percent concentration by volume)

Method used to estimate sediment transport: _____

Most sediment transport formulas are intended for a range of hydraulic conditions and sediment sizes; attach a detailed explanation for using the selected method.

Method used to estimate scour and/or deposition: _____

Method used to revise hydraulic or hydrologic analysis (model) to account for sediment transport: _____

Please note that bulked flows are used to evaluate the performance of a structure during the base flood; however, FEMA does not map BFEs based on bulked flows.

If a sediment analysis has not been performed, an explanation as to why sediment transport (including scour and deposition) will not affect the BFEs or structures must be provided.

See File: "3A - Supplement to MT-2 Form 3" Section E.8. Other Design Criteria